#### b) Description of the assembled sterile barrier systems

Contents of sterile barrier system						
Is this the worst-case scenario? If so, describe ra	ationale:					
Number of sterile barrier systems assembled						
Approved procedure or SOP used to assemble						
Was internal organizing tray, tip protector for sl cal devices etc. to support the medical device an the sterile barrier system used?			ges		no	
If internal support/protection was used, describ	oe:					
c) Description of sterilization processes						
Manufacturer of sterilizer and model number						
Serial number of sterilizer						
Is this a contract sterilizer?			yes	1	10	
Sterilization cycle			Steam (highes	t temp./	☐ Pla	sma
Attach printout if available			gest time) Ethylene oxide other	e (EO)		v temperature formaldehyde )
Is this the worst-case cycle?			yes	no		cycle param- eters:
Approved SOP or loading procedure used						
Process validated?			yes	no		
Validated by:						
Date of last validation:						
Date of next validation:						
d) Description of pouches and reels (pref	ormed st	teri	le barrier sy	stem)		
Manufacturer of preformed sterile barrier systems						
Type/Grade						
Supplier contact information:						
Name:						
Address:						
Phone:						
Is supplier also the manufacturer of the pouches and /or reels?	ges		no			
Does manufacturer provide documented evidence of a quality management system (e.g. QM certificate, registration, etc.)	ges		no			
Has manufacturer validated their pouch/reel manufacturing process?	ges		no	verifica	tion	
Does supplier provide documentation demonstrating they have fulfilled the requirements of ISO 11607-1?	ges		no	verifica	tion	

If applicable, does supplier provide documentation of fulfilling requirements of regional product specific document (e.g. EN 868-5)?	ges		no	verification	
Sealing temperature range (in °C)*?	from		to		
	Data fro	m:			
	☐ Verifi	cation	n available		
Sterilization process to be used:					
Is the preformed sterile barrier system compatible to the sterilization process?	ges		no		
Is the storage and handling of preformed sterile barrier systems in the hospital in accordance with regional/ national/local standards or requirements?	ges		no	not available	
e) Description of the sealing device					
Sealing device manufacturer					
Type of sealing device					
Serial number (SN)					
Does temperature switch-off tolerance exist? [e.g. according to DIN 58953-7 (±5 °C)] Enter tolerance.		yes		no	Tolerance
Supplier of the sealing device.					
Supplier contact information:					
Name:					
Address:					
Phone:					
Date of last calibration.					
Does manufacturer provide documentation demonstrating that the equipment is capable of meeting the requirements of ISO 11607-2?		<u></u> у€	es .	no	verification
Are manufacturer's directions for use available	?	☐ ye	es .	no	
When were they updated and where are they ar	chived?				
f) Description of the protective packaging	as well a	ıs haı	ndling, dis	stribution and st	orage challenges
Protective packaging					
<ul> <li>Describe type of protective packaging</li> </ul>				yes	no
<ul> <li>Applied after item is cool</li> </ul>				yes	no
Clearly labelled as protective packaging	g			yes	no no
<ul><li>Transport trays</li></ul>					
Description of handling, distribution and sto	rage			ges	no
Has documentation been completed, e.g. see D.5	?				

How often are sterile iten	ns moved or handled before a	rriving at their				
final point of use?		arrang ar enem				
<ul><li>Number of event</li></ul>	s with risk of loss of integrity	?				
	Performance Qualification of t ective packaging and sterile b		-			
<ul><li>What is the wors</li></ul>	t-case?					
<ul><li>What is the ratio</li></ul>	nal for selection?					
g) Acceptance criteria	— define method and ac	ceptance crite	ria			
Attribute Method for evaluating			Acce	ptance	criteria	
Seal integrity			Intact and cor	itinued s	eal	
			Meets specifie	ed width		
			No channel op	enings		
			No wrinkles,	creases o	r bubbles	
Package integrity			No punctures	, tears, b	reaks	
Seal strength						
Aseptic presentation				Able to open without damage or clamination of the contents		
Peel ability		<b>Peelable without</b> material rupture, delamination, separation or degradation			no	
Other						
n) Qualification steps						
Installation Qualification	on (IQ)	executed				
		previously	executed in th	ne valida	tion dated:	
		pass	]	fail		
		Date/Signatu	ire:			
Operational Qualificati	on (OQ)	executed	☐ executed			
		date previ	ously executed	l in the v	alidation on	
Was acceptance criteria c	defined in (g) above met?	pass		fail		
Attach results						
		Date/Signatu	ire:			
Performance Qualificat	cion (PQ)	executed				
Was acceptance criteria c	defined in (g) above met?	pass		fail		
Attach results						
Date/Si <sub>1</sub>			ıre:			
) Summary approval	of the validation					
All parts of the valid	ation passed, results attach	ned.				
The following parts of	of the validation failed (ple	ase name):				
Follow-up or corrective	actions to be taken					

© ISO 2014 – All rigl

Follow-up actions were determined and d	locumented, results atta	ached	
Date of next scheduled review			
			Signature
Place, Date		 Na	me in block print
D.3 Validation plan checklist: wrap	oping process		
☐ Validation ☐	Revalidation		
a) Responsibilities			
Name of facility			
Location			
Validation participants			
Person responsible for the entire validation			
File location			
b) Description of sterilization wrap	·		
Manufacturer of sterilization wrap	П <i>С</i>		J
Type/Grade	Crepe paper		chers
	Nonwoven	li otn	ers, specify:
	Textile		
Supplier contact information:	☐ Plain paper		
Name:			
Address:			
Phone:			
Is supplier the manufacturer of the wrap?	☐ yes		□ no
Does manufacturer provide documented eviden of a quality management system (e.g. QM certificate, registration, etc.)	ice yes	no	
Does supplier provide documentation demonstrating they have fulfilled the requirements of ISO 11607-1?	yes	no	verification
If applicable, does manufacturer provide documentation of fulfilling requirements of regional product specific document (e.g. EN 868-2)?	yes	no	verification
Sterilization process to be used:			

ges		no	
yes		no	
em			
Adhes	sive tape	I	Label
Indica	tor tape		Others
		If o	thers, specify:
yes	□no		
yes	no		verification
yes	no		verification
systems	(wrapping)		
ration-			
Was internal organizing tray, tip protector for sharp medical devices, corner protectors between tray and wrap, tray liner etc. to support the medical device and protect the sterile barrier system used?		☐ no	
like:			
	yes		no
	yes  Adhes  Indica  yes  yes  yes  yes  ration-  rp medirap, tray t the	yes  Adhesive tape  Indicator tape  yes  yes  no  yes  no  yes  no  yes  no  yes  high	yes   no   no   no   no   no   no   no   n

I Storilization gyalo	Ctoom (hick	oct town /	Dlagma
Sterilization cycle	Steam (high longest time)	Plasma	
Attach printout if available	Ethylene oxi	de (EO)	LTSF (low temperature steam formalde-
	other	(==)	hyde)
Is this the worst-case cycle?	yes	□ no	cycle param-
			eters:
Approved SOP or loading procedure used			
Process validated?	yes	no	
Validated by:	,		
Date of last validation:			
Date of next validation:			
f) Description of protection medical as well as	handling to		ion distuibution ond
f) Description of protective packaging as well as storage challenges	nandling, tra	ansportat	ion, distribution and
Protective Packaging	yes		☐ no
<ul> <li>Describe type of protective packaging</li> </ul>	yes		no
<ul> <li>Applied before sterilization</li> </ul>	yes		no
<ul> <li>Applied after item is cool</li> </ul>	yes		no
<ul> <li>Clearly labelled as protective packaging</li> </ul>	yes		no
— Dust cover			
— Transport trays			
Description of handling, distribution and storage	yes		no
Has documentation been completed, e.g. see <u>D.5</u> ?			
How often are sterile items moved or handled before arriving at their final point of use?			
— Number of events with risk of loss of integrity?			
Consider worst-case for Performance Qualification of the packaging system (combination of protective packaging and sterile barrier system)			
1			
— What is the worst-case?			

Attribute	Method for evaluating	Acceptance criteria
Closure integrity		continuous, no opening or breaches, no channels
Package integrity		No punctures, tears, breaks,
Aseptic presentation		able to open without damage or contamination of the contents
Assembly (folds, etc.) according to documented procedure/assembly instructions		The opened sterile barrier system conforms to the documented procedure/assembly instructions

Attribute	Method for evaluating	Acceptance criteria
Packaging configuration processed in the defined cycle		Have all sterilization parameters for the defined packaging configuration been met [see 3.2.3 b)]?
Other		
h) Qualification steps		

Installation Qualification (IQ)	executed			
	previously executed in	the validation dated:		
		1		
	pass	☐ fail		
	Date/Signature:			
Operational Qualification (OQ)	executed			
	date previously executed in the validation on			
Was acceptance criteria defined in (f) above met?	pass	☐ fail		
Attach results	Date/Signature:			
Performance Qualification (PQ)	executed			
Was acceptance criteria defined in (f) above met?	pass	fail		
Attach results	Date/Signature:			
i) Summary approval of the validation				
All parts of the validation passed, results attached.				
The following parts of the validation failed (please n	amel:			
O.P				
Follow-up or corrective actions to be taken				
rollow-up of corrective actions to be taken				
Follow-up actions were determined and documented	l. results attached			
•				
Date of next scheduled review				

Signature

Name in block print

Place, Date

<b>D.4</b>	Validation plan checklist:	contai	ner pi	ocess			
	<b>Validation</b>	] I	Revalid	ation			
a) Re	esponsibilities						
Nam	e of facility						
Loca	tion						
Valid	ation participants						
Pers	on responsible for the entire validatio	n					
File l	ocation						
b) Do	escription of the rigid container						
Manı	ufacturer of rigid container						
Туре	/Grade		gasket		single use filter	re-usable filter	other
Supp	lier contact information:						
	Name:						
	Address:						
	Phone:						
Is su taine	pplier the manufacturer of the rigid cor?	on-	☐ yes ☐ no				
of a c	manufacturer provide documented e quality management system (e.g. QM o registration, etc.)		e 🗌 yes 🔲 no				
strat	supplier provide documentation deming they have fulfilled the requirement 1607-1?		yes		no	verificati	ion
	plicable, does supplier provide docum of fulfilling requirements of EN 868-8		□yes		no	verificati	ion
	e filter used provided or recommende ufacturer of the container?	d by the	yes		no	verificati	ion
evide spec	t, did the filter supplier provide docur ence of its efficacy and compatibility v ific container and sterilization proces used?	vith the	yes		no	verificati	ion
Steri	lization process to be used:						
	e sterile barrier system compatible to lization process?	the	ges		no		
hosp	e storage and handling of containers i ital in accordance with regional/ nati standards or requirements?		yes		no		
c) De	escription of the tamper evident	systen	used	to demo	onstrate the	e closure integ	grity
Manı	ıfacturer tamper evident system						
Type	/Grade						

Supplier contact information:					
Name:					
Address:					
Phone:					
Is supplier the manufacturer of the tamper evident system?	ges				no
Does the tamper evident system provide the fol-	ges				no
lowing characteristics?	ges				no
— provide visual indication of tampering	ges				no
<ul> <li>hinders opening of the container and provides visual indication or tampering (physically blocks opening of the container)</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>indicate that the lid has been physically secured to the bottom part of the container since sterilization</li> </ul>					
If a single use tamper evident system is used is	ges				no
there a lot number?	LOT-N	0.:			
Does manufacturer provide documented evidence of a quality management system (e.g. QM certificate, registration, etc.)	ges		no		
Does supplier provide documentation demonstrating they have fulfilled the requirements of ISO 11607-1?	yes		no		verification
Sterilization process to be used:					
Is the closure system compatible to the sterilization process?	ges		no		
d) Description of assembled container					
Contents of sterile barrier system					
Is additional packaging used within the container?			] yes		no
Is the additional packaging a sterile barrier system	1?		] yes		no
Is this the worst-case configuration, if so describe trationale	the				
Number of sterile barrier systems assembled					
Procedure or SOP used to assemble					
Was internal organizing tray, tip protector for shar cal devices etc. to support the medical device and pthe sterile barrier system used?		- [	] yes	□ no	
If internal support/protection was used, describe:					
e) Description of sterilization processes					
Manufacturer of sterilizer and model number					
Serial number of sterilizer					
Is this a contract sterilizer?		☐ y	es		no
Sterilization cycle			team (highest	temp./	☐ Plasma
Attach print-out if available		~	gest time)	(110)	Formaldehyde (F0)
		E	thylene oxide	(EU)	

Is this the worst-case cycle?		yes	no	cycle parameters:	
SOP or loading procedure used	d				
Process validated?		yes	no		
Validated by:					
Date of last validation:					
Date of next validation:					
f) Description of the hand	ling, distribution and st	orage challe	enges		
Has documentation been comp	pleted, e.g. see <u>D.5</u> ?		ges	no	
How often are sterile items me final point of use?	ving at their				
<ul> <li>Number of events wit</li> </ul>	h risk of loss of integrity?				
Consider worst-case for Perfortem (combination of protectiv  — What is the worst-cas  — What is the rational for		-			
g) Acceptance criteria- de	fine method and accept	ance criteria	1		
Attribute	Method for evalu	ating	Acceptance criteria		
Latching mechanism and Closure continuity/integrity				inuity/integrity main- out closure rupture	
Filter/valve integrity			No puncture	s, tears, channels, damage	
Gasket integrity			No damage (	see <u>3.3.2.9.2</u> )	
Aseptic presentation				without damage or con- of the contents	
Other					
h) Qualification steps					
Installation Qualification (I	Q)	executed			
		previously	executed in	the validation dated:	
		pass		☐ fail	
		Date/Signature:			
Operational Qualification (C	OQ)	executed			
		date previ	date previously executed in the validation o		
Was acceptance criteria define Attach results	ed in (f) above met?	pass	☐ pass ☐ fail		
		Date/Signature:			
Performance Qualification (	PQ)	executed			
Was acceptance criteria define Attach results	ed in (f) above met?	pass		☐ fail	
		Date/Signature:			

#### i) Summary approval of the validation

All parts of the validation passed, results attached.			
$\hfill \square$ The following parts of the validation failed (please name):			
Follow-up or corrective actions to be taken			
☐ Follow-up actions were determined and documented, results atta	ched		
Date of next scheduled review			
	Signature		
Place, Date	Name in block p		
D.5 Handling and distribution checklist: handling, dis	tribution and stor	age	
The following checklist should help to make the choice of the sterile	barrier system used.		
Anticipated challenges that effect integrity of sterile barrier systems			
Does the manufacturer provide recommendations for the conditions of har storage and distribution?	ndling,		
— Temperature	yes	☐ no	
<ul> <li>Relative humidity</li> </ul>	yes	no	
<ul> <li>Handling requirements</li> </ul>	yes	☐ no	
<ul> <li>Restrictions on agitation</li> </ul>	yes	no no	
<ul> <li>Bumps and other movement</li> </ul>	yes	no	
Description of handling and staff attire			
Is there an education program for staff who handles sterile barrier system	s?	☐ no	
Is there a hand hygiene protocol?	☐ yes if yes describ	☐ no	
Is there a dress code?	☐ yes if yes describ	☐ no	
Is the number of times a sterile barrier system is handled from point of ste to point of use known?	rilization	☐ no	
Description of distribution/transport means			
On site transportation			
<ul> <li>Can the clean and/or sterile medical devices be transported and s rately from soiled medical devices?</li> </ul>	tored sepa- 🗌 yes	no	
<ul> <li>Are these sterile medical devices covered or enclosed during trans</li> </ul>	sport?	☐ no	