

IPC-A-600

**Revision K – July 2020
Supersedes Revision J
May 2016**

Acceptability of Printed Boards

Developed by



BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER

participants from

14 countries

contributed to this standard



This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2809.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-A-600K

Acceptability of Printed Boards

If a conflict occurs between the English and translated versions of this document, the English version will take precedence.

Developed by the IPC-A-600 Task Group (7-31a) of the Product Assurance Committee (7-30) of IPC

Supersedes:

IPC-A-600J - May 2016
IPC-A-600H - April 2010
IPC-A-600G - July 2004
IPC-A-600F - November 1999

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the A-600 Task Group (7-31a) of the Product Assurance Committee (7-30) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of IPC extend their gratitude. Special thanks goes to the members of the Rigid Printed Board Committee (D-30) for their efforts in establishing acceptance criteria for printed boards.

Product Assurance Committee	IPC-A-600 Task Group	Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors
Chair Robert Cooke NASA Johnson Space Center	Co-Chair Scott Bowles Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company	Bob Neves Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories
Vice-Chair Debbie Wade Advanced Rework Technology-A.R.T	Co-Chair Denise Charest Amphenol Printed Circuits, Inc.	
IPC-A-600 Task Group		
Elizabeth Allison, NTS - Baltimore	Herb Girtz, Holaday Circuits Inc.	Jeff Lewis, Holaday Circuits Inc.
David Anderson, Raytheon Company	Constantino Gonzalez, ACME Training & Consulting	Peter Lindhardt, TTM Technologies - Logan Division
Norman Armendariz, Raytheon Company	Pierre-Emmanuel Goutorbe, Airbus Defence & Space	Dan Loew, L3Harris
Lance Auer, Conductor Analysis Technologies, Inc.	Vicka Hammill, Honeywell Inc. Air Transport Systems	Jennifer Ly, BAE Systems
Jimmy Baccam, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control	Hardeep Heer, FTG Circuits	Todd MacFadden, Bose Park Place Manufacturing
John Bauer, Collins Aerospace	Philip Henault, Raytheon	Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc.
Phil Befus, Honeywell Aerospace	Joshua Huang, Nvidia Corporation	Tabishur Malik, TTM Technologies
James Blanche, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center	Emma Hudson, Emma Hudson Technical Consultancy Ltd	Tim McKliget, Holaday Circuits Inc.
Steven Bowles, DuPont SVTC	Frank Huijsmans, PIEK International Education Centre	Melissa Meagher, Raytheon Missile Systems
Mark Buechner, BAE Systems	Henrik Jensen, Gaasdal Bygningsindustri A/S	Michael Miller, NSW Crane
Michael Chang, Northrop Grumman Corporation	Joseph Kane, BAE Systems	James Monarchio, TTM Technologies
Thomas Clark, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control	Allen Keeney, Johns Hopkins University	Steven Murray, Northrop Grumman Corporation
Michael Collier, Teledyne Leeman Labs	Maan Kokash, BAE Systems	Robert Neves, Microtek Laboratories China
Robert Cooke, NASA Johnson Space Center	Nick Koop, TTM Technologies	Thi V. Nguyen, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control
Cesar De Luna, NTS - Anaheim	Kelly Kovalovsky, BAE Systems	Jamie Noland, Blackfox Training Institute
Francesco Di Maio, GESTLABS S.r.l.	Kevin Kusiak, Lockheed Martin Corporation	Gerard O'Brien, Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc.
Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control	Meredith LaBeau, Calumet Electronics Corp.	Gianluca Parodi, IIS Progress SRL
Julie Ellis, TTM Technologies	Jeremy Lakoskey, Honeywell International	Gerry Partida, Summit Interconnect - Anaheim
Richard Etchells, Electronic Technology Resource Partners	Leo Lambert, EPTAC Corporation	Helena Pasquito, EPTAC Corporation
Gary Ferrari, FTG Circuits	Christina Landon, NSW Crane	Yogen Patel, Candor Industries Inc.
William Fox, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control	David Lee, BMK Professional Electronics Gmb	Jan Pedersen, Elmatica AS
Mahendra Gandhi, Northrop Grumman Space Systems	Minsu Lee, Korea Printed Circuit Association	Stephen Pierce, SGP Ventures, Inc.
Gonzalo J Garcia Leypon, Cirexx International, Inc.	Peggy LeGrand, TTM Technologies	John Potenza, Lockheed Martin Mission Systems & Training
	Andrew Leslie, BAE Systems	Randy Reed, R. Reed Consultancy LLC

Acknowledgment (cont.)

Owen Reid, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control

Curtis Ricotta, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company

Jose Rios, Raytheon

Nef Rios, Summit Interconnect - Anaheim

Kris Roberson, Bandjwet Enterprises, Inc. D/B/A BEST

Thomas Romont, IFTEC

Christina Rutherford, Honeywell Aerospace

Gilbert Shelby, Raytheon Systems Company

Russell Shepherd, NTS - Anaheim

Hans Shin, Pacific Testing Laboratories, Inc.

Patrick Smith, Cirexx International, Inc.

David Sommervold, Henkel US Operations Corp.

Brian Stevens, Collins Aerospace

Marshall Stolstrom, TTM Technologies, Inc.

Stephanie Stork, L3Harris Communications

Ingrid Swenson, TTM Technologies, Inc.

Audra Thurston, Calumet Electronics

Corp.

Bradley Toone, L3Harris Communications

Paul Van Dang, Innovative Circuits

Crystal Vanderpan, UL LLC

Pietro Vergine, Advanced Rework Technology-A.R.T

Adeodato Vigano, Compunetics Inc.

Jennet Volden, Collins Aerospace

Debbie Wade, Advanced Rework Technology-A.R.T

Rob Walls, PIEK International Education Centre (I.E.C.) BV

A special note of thanks goes to Curtis Ricotta of Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company and Denise Charest of Amphenol Printed Circuits, Inc. for supplying a significant amount of new photographs for this revision.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgment	iii	2.6 Holes – Unsupported	42
1 Introduction	1	2.6.1 Haloing	42
1.1 Scope	1	2.7 Edge Board Contacts	43
1.2 Purpose	1	2.7.1 Surface Plating – Printed Board Edge Connector Lands	43
1.3 Approach To This Document	1	2.7.1.1 Surface Plating – Edge Connector Lands (Gap/Overlap Area)	45
1.4 Classification	1	2.7.2 Burrs on Edge-Board Contacts	46
1.5 Acceptance Criteria	2	2.7.3 Adhesion of Overplate	47
1.6 Applicable Documents	3	2.8 Marking	49
1.6.1 IPC	3	2.8.1 Etched Marking	50
1.6.2 American Society of Mechanical Engineers	4	2.8.2 Ink Marking	52
1.7 Dimensions and Tolerances	4	2.9 Solder Mask	54
1.8 Terms and Definitions	4	2.9.1 Coverage Over Conductors (Skip Coverage)	55
1.9 Revision Level Changes	4	2.9.2 Registration to Holes (All Finishes) ...	56
1.10 Workmanship	4	2.9.3 Registration to Rectangular Surface Mount Lands	57
2 Externally Observable Characteristics	5	2.9.3.1 Registration to Round Surface Mount Lands (BGA) – Solder Mask-Defined Lands	58
2.1 Printed Board Edges	5	2.9.3.2 Registration to Round Surface Mount Lands (BGA) – Copper- Defined Lands	59
2.1.1 Burrs	5	2.9.3.3 Registration to Round Surface Mount Lands (BGA) – (Solder Dam)	60
2.1.1.1 Nonmetallic Burrs	6	2.9.4 Blisters/Delamination	61
2.1.1.2 Metallic Burrs	7	2.9.5 Adhesion (Flaking or Peeling)	63
2.1.2 Nicks	8	2.9.6 Waves/Wrinkles/Ripples	64
2.1.3 Haloing	9	2.9.7 Tenting (Via Holes)	65
2.2 Base Material Surface	10	2.9.8 Soda Strawing	66
2.2.1 Weave Exposure	11	2.10 Pattern Definition – Dimensional	68
2.2.2 Weave Texture	12	2.10.1 Conductor Width and Spacing	68
2.2.3 Mechanically Induced Disrupted Fibers	13	2.10.1.1 Conductor Width	69
2.2.4 Surface Voids	14	2.10.1.2 Conductor Spacing	70
2.3 Base Material Subsurface	15	2.10.2 External Annular Ring – Measurement	71
2.3.1 Measling	20	2.10.3 External Annular Ring – Supported Holes and Microvia Capture Land ...	72
2.3.2 Crazeing	22	2.10.4 External Annular Ring – Unsupported Holes	74
2.3.3 Delamination/Blister	25	2.10.5 Surface Plating – Rectangular Surface Mount Lands	75
2.3.4 Foreign Inclusions	28	2.10.6 Surface Plating – Round Surface Mount Lands (BGA)	77
2.4 Solder Coatings and Fused Tin Lead	30	2.10.7 Surface Plating – Wire Bond Pads ..	79
2.4.1 Nonwetting	30	2.11 Flatness	81
2.4.2 Dewetting	31		
2.5 Holes – Plated-Through – General	33		
2.5.1 Nodules/Rough Plating	33		
2.5.2 Pink Ring	34		
2.5.3 Voids – Copper Plating	35		
2.5.4 Voids – Finished Coating	36		
2.5.5 Lifted Lands – (Visual)	37		
2.5.6 Cap Plating of Filled Holes – (Visual)	38		
2.5.7 Back-Drilled Holes – (Visual)	40		

Table of Contents (cont.)

3 Internally Observable Characteristics	83		
3.1 Dielectric Materials	84		
3.1.1 Laminate Voids/Cracks (Outside Thermal Zone)	84	3.3.15 Annular Ring – Microvia to Target Land	134
3.1.2 Registration/Conductor to Holes	87	3.3.16 Microvia Target Land Contact Dimension	136
3.1.3 Clearance Hole, Unsupported, to Power/Ground Planes	88	3.3.17 Microvia Target Land Piercing	139
3.1.4 Dielectric Material, Clearance, Metal Plane for Supported Holes	89	3.3.18 Lifted Lands – (Cross-Sections)	140
3.1.5 Delamination/Blister	90	3.3.19 Copper Plating Thickness – Hole Wall	141
3.1.6 Dielectric Removal	91	3.3.20 Copper Wrap Plating	142
3.1.6.1 Etchback	93	3.3.21 Copper Cap Plating of Filled Holes	145
3.1.6.2 Smear Removal	95	3.3.22 Plated Copper Filled Vias (Through, Blind, Buried and Microvia)	147
3.1.6.3 Negative Etchback	97	3.3.23 Material Fill of Through, Blind, Buried and Microvia Structures (Other than Copper Plating)	149
3.1.7 Layer-to-Layer Spacing	99	3.3.24 Back-Drilled Holes (Microsection Evaluation)	151
3.1.8 Resin Recession	101	3.3.25 Solder Coating Thickness (Only When Specified)	152
3.1.9 Hole Wall Dielectric/Plated Barrel Separation (Hole Wall Pullaway)	102	3.4 Plated-Through Holes – Drilled	153
3.2 Conductive Patterns – General	103	3.4.1 Burrs	154
3.2.1 Etching Characteristics	105	3.4.2 Nailheading	155
3.2.2 Print and Etch	107	3.5 Plated-Through Holes – Punched	156
3.2.2.1 Overhang	108	3.5.1 Roughness and Nodules	157
3.2.3 External Conductor Thickness (Foil Plus Plating)	109	3.5.2 Flare	158
3.2.4 Non-Plated Layer Copper Foil Thickness	110	4 Miscellaneous	159
3.2.5 Solder Mask Thickness	111	4.1 Flexible and Rigid-Flex Printed Boards	159
3.3 Plated-Through Holes – General	112	4.1.1 Coverlay Coverage – Coverfilm Separations	160
3.3.1 Copper Plating Voids	114	4.1.2 Coverlay/Covercoat Coverage – Adhesives	162
3.3.2 Plating Nodules	115	4.1.2.1 Adhesive Squeeze-Out – Land Area	162
3.3.3 Plating Folds/Inclusions	116	4.1.2.2 Adhesive Squeeze-Out – Foil Surface	163
3.3.4 Wicking	118	4.1.3 Access Hole Registration for Coverlay and Stiffeners	164
3.3.4.1 Wicking, Clearance Holes	119	4.1.4 Plating Anomalies	165
3.3.5 Innerlayer Inclusions	120	4.1.5 Stiffener Bonding	166
3.3.6 Innerlayer Separation – Vertical (Axial) Microsection	121	4.1.6 Transition Zone, Rigid Area to Flexible Area	167
3.3.7 Innerlayer Separation – Horizontal (Transverse) Microsection	123	4.1.7 Solder Wicking/Plating Penetration Under Coverlay	168
3.3.8 Plating Separation	124	4.1.8 Laminate Integrity	169
3.3.9 Foil Crack – (Internal Foil) “C” Crack	126	4.1.8.1 Laminate Integrity – Flexible Printed Board	170
3.3.10 Foil Crack (External Foil) “A,” “B,” “D” Cracks	127		
3.3.11 Plating Crack (Barrel) “E” Crack	128		
3.3.12 Plating Crack – (Corner) “F” Crack	129		
3.3.13 Plating Microanomalies	130		
3.3.14 Annular Ring – Internal Layers	131		

Table of Contents (cont.)

4.1.8.2	Laminate Integrity – Rigid-Flex Printed Board	171
4.1.9	Etchback (Type 3 and Type 4 Only)	172
4.1.10	Smear Removal (Type 3 and 4 Only)	173
4.1.11	Trimmed Edges/Edge Delamination	174
4.1.12	Silver Film Integrity	176
4.2	Metal Core Printed Boards	178
4.2.1	Type Classifications	179
4.2.2	Spacing Laminated Type	180
4.2.3	Insulation Thickness, Insulated Metal Substrate	181
4.2.4	Insulation Material Fill, Laminated Type Metal Core	182
4.2.5	Cracks in Insulation Material Fill, Laminated Type	183
4.2.6	Core Bond to Plated-Through Hole Wall	184
4.3	Flush Printed Boards	185
4.3.1	Flushness of Surface Conductor	185
5	Cleanliness Testing	186
5.1	Solderability Testing	187
5.1.1	Plated-Through Holes (Applicable to Solder Float Test)	188
5.2	Electrical Integrity	190

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

1 INTRODUCTION

Introduction

1.1 SCOPE

This document describes the target, acceptable, and nonconforming conditions that are either externally or internally observable on printed boards. It represents the visual interpretation of minimum requirements set forth in various printed board specifications, e.g.; IPC-6010 series, J-STD-003, etc.

1.2 PURPOSE

The visual illustrations in this document portray specific criteria of the requirements of current IPC specifications. In order to properly apply and use the content of this document, the printed board should comply with the design requirements of the applicable IPC-2220 series document and the performance requirements of the applicable IPC-6010 series document. In the event the printed board does not comply with these or equivalent requirements, then the acceptance criteria should be as agreed between user and supplier (AABUS).

1.3 APPROACH TO THIS DOCUMENT

Characteristics are divided into two general groups:

- Externally Observable (section 2)
- Internally Observable (section 3)

“Externally observable” conditions are those features or imperfections which can be seen and evaluated on or from the exterior surface of the board. In some cases, such as voids or blisters, the actual condition is an internal phenomenon and is detectable from the exterior.

“Internally observable” conditions are those features or imperfections that require microsectioning of the specimen or other forms of conditioning for detection and evaluation. In some cases, these features may be visible from the exterior and require microsectioning in order to assess acceptability requirements.

Specimens should be illuminated during evaluation to the extent needed for effective examination. The illumination should be such that no shadow falls on the area of interest except those shadows caused by the specimen itself. It is recommended that polarization and/or dark field illumination be employed to prevent glare during the examination of highly reflective materials.

The illustrations in this document portray specific criteria relating to the heading and subheading of each page, with brief descriptions of the acceptable and nonconforming conditions for each product class. (See 1.4.) The visual quality acceptance criteria are intended to provide proper tools for the evaluation of visual anomalies. The illustrations and photographs in each situation are related to specific requirements. The characteristics addressed are those that can be evaluated by visual observation and/or measurement of visually observable features.

Supported by appropriate user requirements, this document should provide effective visual criteria to quality assurance and manufacturing personnel.

This document cannot cover all of the reliability concerns encountered in the printed board industry; therefore, attributes not addressed in this issue **shall** be AABUS. The value of this document lies in its use as a baseline document that may be modified by expansions, exceptions, and variations which may be appropriate for specific applications.

When making accept and/or reject decisions, the awareness of documentation precedence must be maintained.

This document is a tool for observing how a product may deviate due to variation in processes. Refer to IPC-9191.

IPC-A-600 provides a useful tool for understanding and interpreting Automated Inspection Technology (AIT) results. AIT may be applicable to the evaluation of many of the dimensional characteristics illustrated in this document.

IPC-9121 is a useful troubleshooting guideline for problems, causes and possible corrective actions related to printed board manufacturing processes.

1.4 CLASSIFICATION

This standard recognizes that electrical and electronic products are subject to classifications by intended end-item use. Three general end-product classes have been established to reflect differences in producibility, complexity, functional performance requirements, and verification (inspection/test) frequency. It should be recognized that there may be overlaps of product between classes.