## Guidance on the management and maintenance of the integrity of structures, equipment and systems taken out of service on either a temporary or permanent basis in the upstream oil and gas industry



## GUIDANCE ON THE MANAGEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF THE INTEGRITY OF STRUCTURES, EQUIPMENT AND SYSTEMS TAKEN OUT OF SERVICE ON EITHER A TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT BASIS IN THE UPSTREAM OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY

First edition

November 2019

Published by Energy Institute, London

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The El's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the El addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

#### For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Qatar Petroleum
BP Oil UK Ltd	Repsol Sinopec
Centrica	RWE npower
Chevron North Sea Ltd	Saudi Aramco
Chevron Products Company	Scottish Power
Chrysaor	SGS
CLH	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
ConocoPhillips Ltd	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
DCC Energy	SSE
EDF Energy	TAQA Bratani
ENI	Total E&P UK Limited
E. ON UK	Total UK Limited
Equinor	Tullow Oil
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Uniper
Innogy	Valero
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Vattenfall
Nexen CNOOC	Vitol Energy
Ørsted	Woodside
Perenco	World Fuel Services
Phillips 66	

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2019 by the Energy Institute, London. The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003. Registered charity number 1097899, England All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 124 3

#### Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to El and IP publications is available via our website, **https://publishing.energyinst.org**. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team. e: **pubs@energyinst.org** 

## CONTENTS

			Page	Э
Forew	vord .			3
Ackno	owled	gments .		9
Introc	luctio	n and sco	pe10	0
1	<b>Introd</b> 1.1 1.2 1.3	duction – The decis The meth Preservati	the issue   1'     ion   1'     od.   1'     on maintenance   1'	<b>1</b> 1 1
2	<b>Backg</b> 2.1 2.2 2.3	ground Regulator on ALE po 2.1.1 2.1.2 2.1.3 2.1.4 Different Description	12   y drive via reported results from HSE's KP3/KP4 programme – focus   erspectives for ongoing management 12   The HSE key programme 3 – asset integrity 12   Key performance 4 (kp4) ageing and life extension programme 12   Host country guidance notes 13   Opportunity to demonstrate self-regulation in industry in an agreed 13   core area of concern 13   types of mothballed equipment and levels of non-regular service 13   types of mothballed equipment and levels of non-regular service 13   ot ad 14	<b>2</b> 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 0
3	Mana	implement/	maintenance of structures, equipment and systems that are taken	5
	3.1	Decision of options – 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6 3.1.7	considerations – mothball or 'decommission' or other available   including decision tree 15   The three levels of mothballing for different out of service durations 15   Cannibalisation of mothballed equipment 16   Mothballing decision tree (narrative) 17   Mothballing decision tree Figure 1 27   Removal option of mothballed equipment 22   Decision process for equipment removal from the facility 23   Equipment removal decision tree (Figure 2) 27	<b>5</b> 55 57 12 77
	3.2	Considera 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	ations for preservation of equipment proposed for mothballing 28   Maintain for final life decommissioning 28   How long out of service 29   Equipment RTS in an alternative function 29	3 3 9 9
	3.3 3.4	Considera Managen 3.4.1 3.4.2	ations in returning equipment to service (RTS) 30   nent of change (MoC) 30   Approving and recording the process of change 30   Maintaining an audit trail of P&ID changes. Addressing partial states of 30   decommissioning/local knowledge reliance 31   Checklist (or status board) to inform of the status of equipment 31	) ) ) 1
	3.5	Isolation 1 3.5.1 3.5.2	management considerations for mothballed equipment 32   Process isolation – full boundary isolation (Including electrical power and process). 32   Narrative to illustration – 'simplified, typical test separator with boundary isolation in place' 33	2

#### **Contents continued**

				Page
		3.5.3	Simplified, typical test separator with boundary isolations in place.	34
		3.5.4	Maintaining, monitoring and auditing the boundary isolation (BI)	36
4	Equip	oment an	d processes for mothballing	38
	4.1	Drilling fa	acilities	38
	4.2	FPSO tur	ret area	38
		4.2.1	Turret, swivels and seals (topsides)	39
	4.3	Marine/H	Hull or sub-structure (for FPSOs and floating facilities)	40
		4.3.1	Crude oil storage	40
		4.3.2	Slop tanks system.	49
		4.3.3	Ballast system, including tanks and pumps	50
		4.3.4	Mooring systems	51
		4.3.5	Cathodic protection (moorings)	52
	4.4	Sub-struc	cture (for non-floating facilities)	52
	4.5	Subsea c	ompleted wells and associated infrastructure	53
		4.5.1	Subsea completed wells	53
		4.5.2	Subsea well associated infrastructure	58
	4.6	4.5.3	Subsea hydraulics and ESD systems	58
	4.6	Process T	aclitities – vveilneads and risers (surface completions)	58
		4.6.1	Surface completed wells (Inc. annulus monitoring)	
		4.0.2	Annulus management during weil shut-in periods	00 
		4.0.5	Tonsioning systems (TI Ds and EDCOs)	/2
	17	4.0.4 Hydrocar	tensioning systems (TLFs and FFSOs)	/5 7/
	4.7		Sonaration train proseuro voscols	/4 7/
	18	Process f	acilities – das compression	/4 
	4.0	4 8 1	Process das compression	
		4.8.7	Gas lift system	98
		483	Gas dew point control systems (refrigeration systems)	107
		4.8.4	Glycol regeneration system (in association with dew-point control)	. 117
		4.8.5	Flare and vent systems	. 124
	4.9	Process f	acilities – export/system (including pipelines)	. 124
		4.9.1	Metering skids	. 124
		4.9.2	Crude oil export pumps	. 126
		4.9.3	Pig launchers and receivers.	. 136
		4.9.4	ESD and PSD valves	. 137
	4.10	Process f	acilities – utilities and chemicals	. 138
		4.10.1	Diesel fuel	. 138
		4.10.2	Fuel gas system	. 139
		4.10.3	Heating and cooling medium	. 139
		4.10.4	Sea water lift and cooling	. 140
		4.10.5	Sea water filtration and injection	. 140
		4.10.6	Fire pumps and fire-main	. 141
		4.10.7	Cathodic protection	. 141
		4.10.8	Chemical injection systems (oil, gas and sea water).	. 142
		4.10.9	Air compression and dew-point control	. 142
		4.10.10	Laboratory	. 143
	4.11	Power ge	eneration and distribution.	. 143
		4.11.1	Gas turbine drivers	. 143

#### **Contents continued**

			Page
		4.11.2 HV motor drivers and generators	153
		4.11.3 HV and LV switchboards	158
		4.11.4 Transformers	159
		4.11.5 Auxiliary generators	160
		4.11.6 Emergency generator	166
		4.11.7 Uninterruptible power source (UPS)	167
	4.12	Accommodation (Including Helideck).	167
		4.12.1 Central control room	167
		4.12.2 F&G detection and protection (accommodation)	167
		4.12.3 HVAC systems (accommodation)	167
		4.12.4 Galley	168
		4.12.5 Cabins	169
		4.12.6 Sick bay	169
		4.12.7 Domestic facilities (e.g. laundry)	169
		4.12.8 Crew change facilities	
		4.12.9 Helideck firefighting systems	
	4.4.2	4.12.10 Hell-fuel system	
	4.13	Domestic utilities	
		4.13.1 Potable water systems	1/4
	4 1 4	4.13.2 Sewage treatment	
	4.14	SOLAS equipment	
	4 1 5	4.14.1 LITEDOdts driv ratio and respective and devite	
	4.15	4 15 1 mothballing opportunities	
		4.15.1 moundaiing opportunities	
		4.15.2 Information for a service	
	1 16	General equipment list	170
	4.10	4 16 1 Other storage vessels	170
		4.10.1 Other storage vessels	180
		4.10.2 Fullips large and small	103
5	Reco	mmended good practice	
	5 1	Flow chart approach for consistency	204
	5.2	The standard processes followed for Management of change	204
	5.2		
Anne	ex A	References	205
Annex B		Abbreviations	
A	C		
Anne	exc	(preparation for mothballing)	211
٨٥٥٢		Competencies for personnel	215
AIIII			
Anne	ex E	A3 drawings	217

## INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

This document presents a good practice guideline for the effective management and maintenance of the integrity of equipment and systems taken out of service and their related structures, on either a temporary or permanent basis, in the upstream oil and gas industry.

Adopting a high level view of typical equipment found in the upstream oil and gas industry, specific isolation and preservation measures are outlined, including instances where connections to live equipment may be required.

Based on a collation of good practice and equipment manufacturer recommended procedures, this document aims to address typical situations that are likely to arise; however, appropriate risk assessments based on contextual requirements need to be undertaken to fully determine the applicability of the outlined good practices to the specific situation.

The scope, range and depth of the document has been based on field experiences of the compilation team and may correspondingly be worthy of some revision and updating. This should be performed as additional experiences of upstream mothballing are gained.

## 1 INTRODUCTION – THE ISSUE

The upstream oil and gas operating companies are faced with challenging decisions with equipment that is not in full-time use or has no or little reserve requirement.

With operating costs ever on the increase and crude oil prices low or uncertain, making the decision to withdraw systems or parts of systems to take them out of service and mothball them is not to be made lightly.

When and how best to make the decisions for taking equipment out of service while ensuring that the equipment is appropriately preserved for return-to-service, with minimum cost or risk of degradation of performance when restarted.

### 1.1 THE DECISION

The decision to take a part of a system or component from service will be driven by:

- the equipment availability (i.e. little used or depended upon);
- the cost and practicability of keeping that equipment in service;
- avoidance of additional investment;
- the risk to regularity with that part no longer available for service, and
- any safety critical system interdependencies of the system or component.

### 1.2 THE METHOD

The method of withdrawal from service and the technical approach to preservation will be driven by:

- the estimated time the system or component is expected to be out of service;
- the need to retain residual net present value (NPV) of the system or component, and
- any regulatory requirements that need to be met during the process of withdrawal from service.

#### 1.3 PRESERVATION MAINTENANCE

The approach to preservation maintenance for a particular part of a system or component of a system is dependent upon:

- what that part of a system or component is;
- what type of product the system or component was used on when last in service;
- what the notice for being brought back into service is, and
- any regulatory requirements that need to be met during the performance of the preservation maintenance.

## 2 BACKGROUND

#### 2.1 REGULATORY DRIVE VIA REPORTED RESULTS FROM HSE'S KP3/KP4 PROGRAMME – FOCUS ON ALE PERSPECTIVES FOR ONGOING MANAGEMENT

### 2.1.1 The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) key programme 3 – asset integrity

The HSE has maintained the focus on asset integrity over the years and in 2007 issued the key performace 3 (KP3) review which revisited the appraisal of asset integrity management of offshore installations on the United Kingdom Continental Shelf (UKCS).

The findings of the three-year inspection initiative revealed significant issues regarding the maintenance of safety-critical systems used in major accident hazard control in the industry.

Overall, the review found that the industry had allocated considerable resource and effort to improve offshore assets and compliance with relevant standards, and that the offshore industry leadership has responded well. There was evidence of good progress in addressing the issues identified by the KP3 work.

The review also found that the work is by no means complete, and continued effort is necessary to sustain the momentum of improvement initiatives, so that facilities are not allowed to degrade to the extent identified in the 2007 KP3 report.

The review highlights that offshore safety and the security of UK energy supply depend on successful management of oil and gas asset integrity. It is therefore essential that the fluctuating economic environment should not slow progress on management approaches to achieve and sustain the improvements KP3 emphasised as necessary.

The KP3 report raised significant concerns and set some challenges for the industry. The KP3 report was accepted as valid by the UK offshore oil and gas industry.

This report therefore sets out the background for the requirement to maintain asset integrity standards when setting out to mothball equipment

#### 2.1.2 Key performance 4 (KP4) ageing and life extension programme

This report communicates the results and recommendations of the Ageing and Life Extension Key Programme (KP4) carried out between 2011 and 2013 by HSE's Energy Division.

The report noted that about half of the fixed platforms are approaching or exceeding their originally anticipated field life. There are potentially significant future challenges to be met associated with the prospect of some installations operating beyond their original cessation of production date.

It also noted that management of ageing requires a good understanding of the condition of safety critical elements (SCEs), how that condition is changing over time and carrying out maintenance in a timely manner to minimise risk of major accidents.

From the perspective of this guidance, this should also include the mothballed equipment.

The KP4 Report also found that there was a range of areas where ageing and life extension (ALE) management was developing well, plus areas where extra focus is required.

#### 2.1.3 Host country guidance notes

The reference documents (KP3 and KP4) are the HSE's principal documents for this purpose applicable to the UKCS.

Where companies are operating in countries other than the UK, then the equivalent documents from the applicable authorities should be sought and referred to.

# 2.1.4 Opportunity to demonstrate self-regulation in industry in an agreed core area of concern

To correct an area where no clear guidance currently exists, the development of this document as an independent guidance note other than the HSE KP4, will indicate to the HSE that the upstream oil and gas industry is seeking to address the issues surrounding ALE responsibly.

The preparation of this document is also an indication that the industry is seeking to provide further guidance to operators to undertake mothballing responsibility and with due consideration to SCEs.

# 2.2 DIFFERENT TYPES OF MOTHBALLED EQUIPMENT AND LEVELS OF NON-REGULAR SERVICE

Upstream oil and gas operators have, since operations began, been selectively adding, modifying or removing equipment or components from systems. This has been performed with varying levels of Control of Change, with levels of success and some known failures.

Individual upstream operators have performed these modifications under the umbrella of their own permit-to-work (PTW) procedures, Control of Change procedures and other own company guidelines.

This guidance will endeavour to identify the types of systems, parts of systems, equipment or components that are likely to be withdrawn from service for mothballing, and provide guidance as to how that could be best undertaken with due consideration for the prevailing circumstances.

#### 2.3 DESCRIPTION AND GUIDANCE AS TO HOW EACH MOTHBALLING PROCESS SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED

Note: This section is based on an ideal world situation. In reality, a number of practical constraints may exist. Where such constraints exist on individual upstream facilities they should be identified and managed.

The process of withdrawal from service for each identified system, parts of systems, equipment, or components will be addressed from first principles in the following main steps:

- The decision to withdraw systems, parts of systems, equipment or components together with the risk-based principles employed to derive that decision.
- The methodology for withdrawal from service. This will set out the service conditions that will best favour the systems, parts of systems, equipment or components for withdrawal and the follow-on preservation maintenance. This methodology may be

variable depending upon how long that equipment is planned to be removed from service for <u>and</u> the required notice for return to service.

- Once withdrawn from service, how that system, parts of systems, equipment or components have the long-term isolation (LTI) applied to it. As a part of that isolation process it may be appropriate to establish a boundary isolation (BI) that would enable the mothballed equipment to be appropriately monitored and maintained while protected from live processes.
- The mothballing process will be described in principle for each identified system, parts of systems, equipment or components. This will set out how it is taken from a condition where service fluids/conditions were present to one where preservation fluids/conditions replace them.
- The preservation maintenance approach for each system, parts of systems, equipment or components will be identified. This will be a combination of selected vendor recommendations, established operational and trade practices. This will also depend upon the project period of time for which the item is intended to be preserved.
- Any mothballing process should be undertaken with due recognition to limiting the potential difficulties in returning the equipment to service.