

## **EP12-A2**

# User Protocol for Evaluation of Qualitative Test Performance; Approved Guideline—Second Edition

This document provides a consistent approach for protocol design and data analysis when evaluating qualitative diagnostic tests. Guidance is provided for both precision and method-comparison studies.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

# Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Setting the standard for quality in clinical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing clinical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

#### **Consensus Process**

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

#### **Commenting on Documents**

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are addressed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

#### **Appeals Process**

If it is believed that an objection has not been adequately addressed, the process for appeals is documented in the CLSI Administrative Procedures.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

#### Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For further information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500 Wayne, PA 19087 USA P: 610.688.0100 F: 610.688.0700 www.clsi.org standard@clsi.org

## User Protocol for Evaluation of Qualitative Test Performance; Approved Guideline—Second Edition

Volume 28 Number 3

Patricia E. Garrett, PhD Fred D. Lasky, PhD Kristen L. Meier, PhD

#### **Abstract**

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document EP12-A2—User Protocol for Evaluation of Qualitative Test Performance; Approved Guideline—Second Edition provides the user with a consistent approach for protocol design and data analysis when evaluating qualitative diagnostic tests. Guidance is provided for both precision and method-comparison studies.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *User Protocol for Evaluation of Qualitative Test Performance; Approved Guideline—Second Edition*. CLSI document EP12-A2 (ISBN 1-56238-654-9). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2008.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org.



This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

Number 3 EP12-A2

Copyright ©2008 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

#### **Suggested Citation**

CLSI. User Protocol for Evaluation of Qualitative Test Performance; Approved Guideline—Second Edition. CLSI document EP12-A2. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2008.

#### **Proposed Guideline**

July 2000

#### **Approved Guideline**

August 2002

#### **Approved Guideline—Second Edition**

January 2008

ISBN 1-56238-654-9 ISSN 0273-3099 Volume 28 EP12-A2

#### **Committee Membership**

#### **Area Committee on Evaluation Protocols**

Luann Ochs, MS Chairholder **BD Diagnostics - TriPath** 

**Durham, North Carolina** 

Greg Cooper, CLS, MHA Vice-Chairholder Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.,

**OSD Division** Plano, Texas

George S. Cembrowski, MD, PhD University of Alberta Hospital Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

David L. Duewer, PhD

National Institute of Standards and

Technology

Gaithersburg, Maryland

Anders Kallner, MD, PhD Karolinska Hospital Stockholm, Sweden

Kristian Linnet, MD, PhD University of Copenhagen Copenhagen, Denmark

Donald R. Parker, PhD Bayer HealthCare, LLC Mishawaka, Indiana

Lakshmi Vishnuvajjala, PhD FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health Rockville, Maryland

Advisors

David A. Armbruster, PhD, DABCC, FACB

Abbott

Abbott Park, Illinois

R. Neill Carey, PhD

Peninsula Regional Medical Center

Salisbury, Maryland

Carl C. Garber, PhD, FACB Quest Diagnostics, Incorporated

Lyndhurst, New Jersey

Patricia E. Garrett. PhD SeraCare Life Sciences, Inc.

Portland, Maine

Martin H. Kroll, MD Boston Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts

Jan S. Krouwer, PhD Krouwer Consulting Sherborn, Massachusetts Jacob (Jack) B. Levine, MBA Siemens Medical Solutions

Diagnostics

Tarrytown, New York

Donald M. Powers, PhD Powers Consulting Services Pittsford, New York

Max Robinowitz, MD

FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health

Rockville, Maryland

Gian Alfredo Scassellati, PhD Ente Nazional Italiano Di Unificatione Turin, Italy

Michele M. Schoonmaker, PhD

Cepheid

Sunnyvale, California

Daniel W. Tholen, MS American Association for Laboratory Accreditation Traverse City, Michigan

Jack Zakowski, PhD, FACB Beckman Coulter, Inc. Brea, California

#### **Working Group on Qualitative Test Performance**

Patricia E. Garrett, PhD

Chairholder

SeraCare Life Sciences, Inc.

Portland, Maine

Fred D. Lasky, PhD Genzyme Diagnostics Cambridge, Massachusetts

Kristen L. Meier, PhD

FDA Ctr. for Devices/Rad. Health

Rockville, Maryland

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards

Institute

Wayne, Pennsylvania

Lois M. Schmidt, DA Vice President, Standards Development and Marketing

Jane M. Oates, MT(ASCP)

Staff Liaison

Patrice E. Polgar Project Manager

Melissa A. Lewis Editor

#### Acknowledgment

CLSI, the Area Committee on Evaluation Protocols, and the Working Group on Qualitative Test Performance gratefully acknowledge Marina Kondratovich, PhD, FDA Center for Devices/Radiological Health, who provided extensive statistical comments, insight, and expertise, and contributed to numerous discussions in revising this document; and Robert Sarber, PhD, Genzyme Diagnostics, who provided insightful statistical comments and the graphics for this consensus guideline.

Number 3 EP12-A2

## Contents

Abstr	act		i
Com	nittee M	embership	iii
Forev	vord		vii
Labor	ratory Er	ror Sources and CLSI Evaluation Protocols Documents	ix
1	Scope	·	1
2	Introduction		
3	Standard Precautions		
4	Clinical Utility		
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Screening Tests Diagnostic Tests Confirmatory Tests	3
5	Terminology		
	5.1 5.2 5.3	A Note on Terminology  Terms for Comparative Benchmark.  Definitions	4
6	Device Familiarization and Training		
	6.1 6.2	Purpose	
7	Evaluation Materials		8
	7.1 7.2	Controls	
8	Bias and Imprecision Studies		8
	8.1 8.2 8.3	Analyte Concentrations Near the Cutoff.  Negative and Positive Controls.  A Qualitative Method-Precision Experiment for Analyte Concentrations Near the $C_{50}$ .	11
9	Comparison of Methods		14
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6	Test Specimens  Number of Specimens  Duration  Inspection of Data During Collection  Discrepant Results  Reference Specimen Panels	16 16 16
10	Data Analysis		
	10.1 10.2 10.3	Comparator Is Diagnostic Accuracy Criteria  Comparator Other Than Diagnostic Accuracy Criteria  Examples	23
Refer	ences		32
Appe	ndix. Sta	tistical Reasoning for Precision Experiment Conclusions	34
Sumn	nary of C	Consensus Comments and Working Group Responses	40

## **Contents (Continued)**

Summary of Delegate Comments and Working Group Responses	41
The Quality Management System Approach	44
- C 2	
Evaluation Protocols Documents, Descriptions, and Key Words	45