

GP47

Management of Critical- and Significant-Risk Results

This guideline provides current best practice recommendations for developing and implementing a policy and procedures for the identification, reporting, and management of critical-and significant-risk laboratory results. Emphasis is placed on management responsibilities such as development of the policy, the process, procedures, job descriptions, and monitoring systems that ensure effective reporting and compliance with regulatory requirements.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in clinical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing clinical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are addressed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeals Process

If it is believed that an objection has not been adequately addressed, the process for appeals is documented in the CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500 Wayne, PA 19087 USA P: 610.688.0100 F: 610.688.0700 www.clsi.org standard@clsi.org

Management of Critical- and Significant-Risk Results

Andrew N. Young, MD, PhD
Anand Dighe, MD, PhD
Graham Beastall, PhD, FRCPath
Lydia C. Contis, MD
Pilar Fernandez-Calle, MD, PhD
Christine M. Gryko, MT(ASCP)
Peter Heseltine, MD, FACP, FACB, FIDSA
Andrea Rita Horvath, MD, PhD

Devery Howerton, PhD, MS, MT(ASCP)SI
Neal Kachalia, MT(ASCP), DLM, MBA
Michael J. Misialek, MD
Raouf E. Nakhleh, MD
Jennifer F. Rhamy, MBA, MA, MT(ASCP), SBB, HP
Susan Shuptar, BS, MT(ASCP)
Graham H. White, PhD, MAACB, FNACB, FFSc(RCPA)

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document GP47—Management of Critical- and Significant-Risk Results describes systems for effective communication of laboratory results that need urgent clinical review. These laboratory results signify risk of major adverse patient outcomes. Therefore, mechanisms for their rapid identification and timely reporting are essential for patient safety. This guideline emphasizes management responsibilities for the development of the policy, the process, procedures, job descriptions, and monitoring systems that promote effective, timely reporting and compliance with regulatory requirements.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Management of Critical- and Significant-Risk Results*. 1st ed. CLSI guideline GP47 (ISBN 1-56238-919-X [Print]; ISBN 1-56238-920-3 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2015.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org.

If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:

P: +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** customerservice@clsi.org **W:** www.clsi.org.



This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

Copyright ©2015 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Management of Critical- and Significant-Risk Results*. 1st ed. CLSI guideline GP47. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2015.

ISBN 1-56238-919-X (Print) ISBN 1-56238-920-3 (Electronic)

ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)

ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 35, Number 18

Committee Membership

Consensus Committee on Quality Management Systems and General Practices

Tania Motschman, MS, MT(ASCP)SBB, CQA(ASQ)

Chairholder

Laboratory Corporation of America

Anne T. Daley, MS, CMQOE(ASQ), CSSBB, CLC(AMT), MT(ASCP), DLM Vice-Chairholder Chi Solutions, Inc.

USA

Deirdre Astin, MS, MT(ASCP) New York State Department of Health USA

Michael B. Cohen, MD ARUP Laboratories USA Dennis J. Ernst, MT(ASCP)
Center for Phlebotomy Education
USA

Karen Heaton, MLT(CMLTA) Alberta Health Services Canada

Michelle Jenkins, MS, MT(AMT), CQE(ASQ), CMQ/OE

Abbott USA

Debra Kuehl, MS, M(ASCP)
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
USA

Joshua D. Levin, PhD FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health USA

Michelle McLean, MS, MT(ASCP) Greiner Bio-One North America Inc. USA

Melissa Singer, MT(ASCP)
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
Services
USA

Janette Wassung
PathCare Pathology Laboratory
South Africa

Ginger Wooster, MBA, MT(ASCP) Orchard Software Corp USA

Document Development Committee on Reporting Critical Laboratory Results

Andrew N. Young, MD, PhD Chairholder Quest Diagnostics

USA

Anand Dighe, MD, PhD Vice-Chairholder

Massachusetts General Hospital USA

Christine M. Gryko, MT(ASCP)
Committee Secretary
Roswell Park Cancer Institute
USA

Graham Beastall, PhD, FRCPath International Federation of Clinical Chemistry Italy

Pilar Fernandez-Calle, MD, PhD La Paz University Hospital Spain Peter Heseltine, MD, FACP, FACB, FIDSA UCI Medical Center (University of California, Irvine)

USA

Andrea Rita Horvath, MD, PhD SEALS North, Prince of Wales Hospital Australia

Devery Howerton, PhD, MS, MT(ASCP)SI USA

Neal Kachalia, MT(ASCP), DLM, MBA Harris County Hospital District USA

Raouf E. Nakhleh, MD College of American Pathologists USA Graham H. White, PhD, MAACB, FNACB, FFSc(RCPA) Flinders Medical Centre Australia

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute USA

Marcy L. Hackenbrack, MCM, M(ASCP) *Project Manager*

Megan L. Tertel, MA, ELS Editorial Manager

Joanne P. Christopher, MA, ELS *Editor*

Alexander B. Phucas *Editor*

Acknowledgment

CLSI, the Consensus Committee on Quality Management Systems and General Practices, and the Document Development Committee on Reporting Critical Laboratory Results gratefully acknowledge the following volunteers for their important contributions to the development of this document:

Lydia C. Contis, MD University of Pittsburgh Medical Center USA

Michael J. Misialek, MD Newton-Wellesley Hospital USA Jennifer F. Rhamy, MBA, MA, MT(ASCP), SBB, HP St. Mary's Hospital USA

Susan Shuptar, BS, MT(ASCP) Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center USA

Contents

Abstract	i
Committee Membership	iii
Foreword	vi
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Scope	2
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Terminology	3
Chapter 2: Development of an Organizational Process for Managing Critical- and Significant-Risk Laboratory Results	9
2.1 Developing Critical- and Significant-Risk Alert Lists and Thresholds	11
2.2 The Critical- and Significant-Risk Reporting Process	22
2.3 Critical- and Significant-Risk Process Is Implemented.	33
2.4 Critical- and Significant-Risk Result Process Is Monitored.	39
Chapter 3: Quality System Essentials for Managing Critical- and Significant-Risk Laboratory Results	43
3.1 Organization	44
3.2 Customer Focus	44
3.3 Personnel	45
3.4 Purchasing and Inventory	45
3.5 Equipment	45
3.6 Process Management	49
3.7 Documents and Records	49
3.8 Information Management	50
3.9 Nonconforming Event Management.	51
3.10 Assessments	52
3.11 Continual Improvement	52
Chapter 4: Conclusion	53
Chapter 5: Supplemental Information	55
References	56
Appendix A. Different Terms Used for Critical- and Significant-Risk Laboratory Results	60
Appendix B. US Benchmark Data From the College of American Pathologists	61
Appendix C. Sample Documents Related to the Reporting of Critical- and Significant-Risk Results	65

Contents (Continued)

Appendix D. Regulatory and Accreditation Requirements	68
Appendix E. Critical- and Significant-Risk Results in Infectious Disease, Hematology/Oncology, andTransplantation Medicine	74
Appendix F. Critical- and Significant-Risk Results in Transfusion Medicine	75
Appendix G. Critical- and Significant-Risk Results in Anatomic Pathology	76
Appendix H. Sample Escalation Protocol Process	80
Appendix I. Example of a Tool for Quality Monitoring of the Management of Critical- and Significant-Risk Results	82
The Quality Management System Approach	84
Related CLSI Reference Materials	86

Foreword

The timely reporting of results that need urgent clinical review is a fundamental responsibility of medical laboratories. This practice is essential for patient safety and is mandated by regulatory and accreditation requirements for laboratories and health care organizations. Laboratory and anatomic pathology results need urgent clinical review when they represent a high risk to patient health and safety. When the results indicate risk of immediately life-threatening conditions, they need to be communicated without delay to a responsible caregiver for urgent patient evaluation and management. GP47 recommends this result category be called "critical-risk" results. In addition, the concept of patient risk can be applied to a broader range of results that may not be immediately life-threatening, but still represent a risk to patients unless they are clinically evaluated and managed within a specific time frame sooner than would occur through routine reporting. GP47 recommends that this result category be called "significant-risk" results.

Due to the high risk to patient safety and the need for timely communication, the reporting of critical- and significant-risk results typically involves special procedures characterized by:

- ▶ Direct, person-to-person communication
- ▶ Verification of accurate receipt of information
- Occurrence within clinically appropriate time frames
- Documentation in the patient record

Many regulatory and accreditation organizations require processes for reporting results that need urgent clinical review as well as monitoring systems and quality goals to ensure reporting is timely and effective. Compliance with these regulatory and accreditation requirements is often a focal point during inspections of laboratories and health care organizations.

This guideline defines key processes in the reporting of critical- and significant-risk laboratory results. It recommends processes and procedures that are compliant with regulatory and accreditation requirements and consistent with patient safety best practices.

NOTE: The findings and conclusions in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the organizations they represent.

KEY WORDS

Alert lists Critical-risk results Quality management

Alert thresholds Critical values Risk management

Communication Patient safety Significant-risk results



This page is intentionally left blank.

Chapter 1 Introduction

This chapter includes:

- ► Document scope and applicable exclusions
- ► Background information pertinent to the document content
- ► "Note on Terminology" that highlights particular use and/or variation in use of terms and/or definitions
- ► Terms and definitions used in the document
- ► Abbreviations and acronyms used in the document

