

ANSI/ASSP Z244.1-2016

The Control of Hazardous Energy Lockout, Tagout and Alternative Methods

The American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE) is now the American Society of Safety Professionals (ASSP). ASSP continues to be the Secretariat for the committee producing this standard and continues to hold the copyright to this standard. There is no change to the content and requirements in the standard. The only change is on the cover indicating the organizational name change of the standards developing organization from ASSE to ASSP.



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF
SAFETY PROFESSIONALS



This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

The information and materials contained in this publication have been developed from sources believed to be reliable. However, the American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE) as secretariat of the ANSI accredited Z244 committee or individual committee members accept no legal responsibility for the correctness or completeness of this material or its application to specific factual situations. By publication of this standard, ASSE or the Z244 committee does not ensure that adherence to these recommendations will protect the safety or health of any persons or preserve property.

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

ANSI®
ANSI/ASSE Z244.1 – 2016

American National Standard

**The Control of Hazardous Energy
Lockout, Tagout and Alternative Methods**

Secretariat

American Society of Safety Engineers
520 N. Northwest Hwy
Park Ridge, IL 60068 (847) 699-2929

Approved October 13, 2016

American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer. Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution. The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he/she has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards. The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstance give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretation should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

Caution Notice: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise or withdraw this standard. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

Published December 2016 by

**American Society of Safety Engineers
520 N. Northwest Hwy
Park Ridge, IL 60068
(847) 699-2929 • www.asse.org**

Copyright ©2016 by American Society of Safety Engineers
All Rights Reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced
in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or
otherwise, without the prior written permission
of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

Foreword

(This Foreword is not a part of American National Standard Z244.1-2016.)

History

In March 1973, the Accredited Standards Committee Z244 held its first organizational meeting in New York to develop a standard on lockout/tagout. The National Safety Council functioned as the initial secretariat and provided a draft document "Guidelines for a Lockout Program" dated November 1971 that was used as a reference for the committee's deliberations. By the end of 1975, the standard work was complete and public review and balloting was finished. However, various administrative and procedural problems precluded the standard from being officially released. In March 1982, the American National Standard for Personnel Protection - Lockout/Tagout of Energy Sources - Minimum Safety Requirements Z244.1 was finally approved and published.

In 1987, the standard was re-affirmed without any changes in content. In April 1988, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) released a proposed rule "The Control of Hazardous Energy Sources (Lockout/Tagout)" 29 CFR1910.147 which used ANSI Z244.1 as a principal reference source. The committee believed no consequential action should be taken on the Z244.1 standard while federal rulemaking was underway. In September 1989, OSHA promulgated its final rule 29 CFR1910.147, "The Control of Hazardous Energy Sources (lockout/tagout)." Again in 1992, the ANSI standard was reaffirmed without change.

During 1997, the committee was reconstituted and voted to revise the existing 1982 standard after over 20 years without change. Consequential meetings began in 1998 and the revision process began with writing task groups being formed and continued through 2003. The American Society of Safety Engineers became the secretariat of the Z244 Lockout/Tagout committee in 2003. The title of the standard was modified to recognize the broader universe of hazardous energy control. The standard more effectively addressed the need for greater flexibility through the use of alternative methods based on risk assessment and application of the hazard control hierarchy. In addition, the standard emphasized management's responsibility for protection of personnel against the release of hazardous energy.

The standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the Accredited Standards Committee (Z244) on Control of Hazardous Energy, Lockout/Tagout and Alternative Methods. The standard was approved by ANSI on July 29, 2003 with a publication date of April 14, 2004.

The Z244 committee and ANSI reaffirmed the standard without technical change in 2008 and again in 2014 with the stipulation that the ASC (Z244) committee begin meeting to revise the Z244 standard since no changes had been made since 2003. The ASC (Z244) committee agreed and began the revision process in July 2014.

Need for a Standard

A wealth of casualty data exists in the private, public and governmental sectors related to the unexpected release of hazardous energy. In fact, the issue is of global concern since all of the major industrialized countries of the world are actively addressing the problem in various ways. The U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration provided persuasive injury data in its justification for a lockout/tagout standard for general industry in 1989.

In spite of substantial efforts by employers, unions, trade associations and government during the past 50 years, the annual toll of injury and death related to hazardous energy release incidents remains unacceptable. We now know that all forms of energy must be addressed; that operational personnel are injured as often as maintenance workers; that often thermal and

gravitational forces and trapped materials under pressure are overlooked; that complex equipment and processes frequently demand unique approaches to energy isolation or control; and that employers need to commit resources and substantial effort in planning, training, procedure development and infrastructure before lockout/tagout application ever occurs.

The rapid growth of technology continues to require different methods and techniques for safeguarding workers from the unexpected release of hazardous energy. Each business sector is actively changing the way traditional work is done, which then requires employers to develop new equally effective responses for hazardous energy control. Protective standards need to be improved continually to provide guidance for current conditions as well as evolving technical developments. Advanced control systems provide new opportunities for addressing energy control where conventional lockout is not feasible, where energy is required to perform a task, where repetitive cycling of an energy-isolating device increases risk, and where energy is required to maintain equipment in a safe state, etc.

Standard Perspective

The content of this standard was approached from a business and industry perspective. However, the principles, methods and guidance are applicable to a variety of other settings and circumstances where unexpected release of hazardous energy can occur. The procedures, techniques, methods and design guidance contained in this standard are recommended for use by all those whose activities fall within its scope and purpose.

The standard recognizes that zero risk is only a theoretical possibility, but is not an operative reality - zero risk does not exist. The concept of feasible risk reduction to achieve acceptable or tolerable risk is emphasized whether using conventional lockout, tagout or alternative methods. With regard to hazardous energy control the term “safe” suggests the absence of risk. More accurately, “safe” should be viewed as the acceptability of risk to those who may be exposed. There are numerous terms that reflect the circumstances under which servicing and maintenance is done routinely today. Terms such as AFARP (as far as reasonably practical), ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable), or ALARP (as low as reasonably practicable) convey a more realistic approach to risk reduction and in particular the use of alternative methods.

The standards committee and the secretariat have made a concerted effort to produce a standard that represents the best practice regarding the control of hazardous energy. All circumstances or situations where personnel are exposed to unexpected energy release may not have been anticipated and adequately addressed with respect to the standard’s content. New developments are to be expected, and revisions of the standard will be necessary as the state of the art progresses and further experience is gained. However, uniform requirements are needed and the standard in its present form provides performance requirements that are necessary when developing and implementing a system for protecting personnel from unexpected hazardous energy.

Current Status

The committee held its first meeting in July 2014 for purposes of revision of the Z244 standard with an expanded membership. Several meetings were held to update the standard to include current best practices and technology learned over the past 40 years of controlling hazardous energy. Interest in participating on the Z244.1 revision committee was high and from diverse industries, reflecting the impacts that lockout/tagout has on companies.

With the increased use of risk assessment and advancing technologies, there are now conflicting views on the requirements for how and when to control hazardous energy. The current requirements for the control of hazardous energy appear in 29 CFR 1910.147 under OSHA, and in this American National Standard ANSI/ASSE Z244.1. A thorough discussion of

the similarities and differences between OSHA 29 CFR 1910.147 and the ANSI/ASSE Z244.1 standard can be found in other documents (see Bibliography).

ANSI procedures require that a standard be revised or reapproved every five years or the standard is subject to being withdrawn after a complete ten-year cycle following approval. As technology advances, better alternative methods can be developed to keep employees from harm. If the static standard is followed, the employees may be exposed to greater risks than if more current standards or technology is used as alternative methods of protection.

There is no disagreement on the basic principle that workers should be protected from the unexpected startup or release of hazardous energy. There continues to be disagreements over how, when and which requirements apply. The committee concentrated on how to control hazardous energy using methods based on current knowledge. The committee discussions focused on what was the right thing to do given current technology and industry best practices to protect workers from harm due to the unexpected release of hazardous energy.

This revised standard presents distinct requirements for controlling hazardous energy through three different approaches: lockout (the primary approach), tagout and alternative methods. Alternative methods and risk assessment have received additional attention to emphasize their importance in the energy control process. The revision better clarifies the necessary elements for a policy, program and procedures for controlling hazardous energy. The intent of the committee has been to write a standard that enables readers to effectively control hazardous energy based on current knowledge.

The Service and Maintenance Construct

With the 2016 revision, the committee has rejected the normal production operations versus service and maintenance construct as an artificial distinction without real world application. More specifically, the committee realized that work gets done based upon the tasks to be performed without regard to a characterization of whether the task is normal production operations, service or maintenance. Hazards associated with the unexpected release of hazardous energy need to be addressed – regardless of any labels or characterization attached to it.

Alternative Methods

The committee developed updated requirements for alternative methods for hazardous energy control. New requirements were written and new guidance was provided to assist readers to determine when lockout is required and when an alternative method may be used. Text was also developed that describes the parameters for what constitutes an acceptable alternative method.

The committee believes the new ANSI/ASSE Z244.1-2016 provides greater clarity and direction to companies seeking to control the release of hazardous energy. In particular, better guidance is provided for if, when and how alternative methods may be used to provide effective protection. These improvements should enable companies to use modern technology and innovative solutions to improve the safety and productivity of operations in the workplace.

Standard Guidance

Conformance language in the standard consists of the words “shall” and “should.” In this standard the word “shall” is intended to be prescriptive, specifying mandatory requirements for compliance with the standard. The word “should” specifies non-mandatory recommendations and good practices that have been found to be helpful. “May” is used to indicate that something is permitted, while “can” is used to indicate that something is possible or as a statement of fact.

The notation forward slash (/) is intended to mean and/or when used in the standard. It indicates that two words or expressions are to be taken together or individually.

Normative Requirements

This standard uses the single column format common to many international standards. The normative requirements appear aligned to the left margin. To meet the requirements of this standard, machinery, equipment and process suppliers and users must conform to these normative requirements. These requirements typically use the verb “shall.”

NOTE: The informative or explanatory notes in this standard appear indented, in italics, in a reduced font size, which is an effort to provide a visual signal to the reader that this is informative note, not normative text, and is not to be considered part of the requirements of this standard; this text is advisory in nature only. The suppliers and users are not required to conform to the informative note. The informative note is presented in this manner in an attempt to enhance readability and to provide explanation or guidance to the sections they follow.

Annexes

Annex materials are provided to assist the user in applying the language of the standard and serve as guidance for implementation. They are not mandatory but are offered as relevant examples or references to facilitate improved use.

Suggestions for Improvements

Suggestions for improvements to this standard are welcome. They should be sent to: American Society of Safety Engineers, 520 N. Northwest Highway, Park Ridge, IL 60068 Attention: Z244 Secretariat.

Revisions: The Z244 committee welcomes proposals for revisions to this standard. Revisions are made to the standard periodically (usually five years from the date of the standard) to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable, as demonstrated by experience gained from the application of the standard. Proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the relevant clause number(s), the proposed wording and the reason for the proposal. Pertinent documentation would enable the Z244 committee to process the changes in a more-timely manner.

Interpretations: Upon a request in writing to the secretariat, the Z244 committee will render an interpretation of any requirement of the standard. The request for interpretation should be clear, citing the relevant paragraph number(s) and phrased as a request for a clarification of a specific requirement. Oral interpretations are not provided.

No one but the Z244 committee (through the Z244 secretariat) is authorized to provide any interpretation of this standard.

Approval: Neither the Z244 committee nor American National Standards Institute (ANSI) approves, certifies, rates or endorses any item, construction, proprietary device or activity.

Committee Meetings: The Z244 committee meets periodically but frequently when the standard is undergoing the revision process. Persons wishing to attend a meeting or join the committee should contact the secretariat for information.

Standard Approval: This standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the American National Standards Committee on Control of Hazardous Energy, Z244. Approval of the standard does not necessarily imply (nor is it required) that all committee members voted for its approval. At the time this standard was reaffirmed, the Z244 committee had the following members:

Edward V. Grund, CSP, P.E., Chair
 Jeff Fryman, Vice Chair
 Ovidiu Munteanu, Secretary
 Timothy R. Fisher, CSP, CHMM, ARM, CPEA, Assistant Secretary
 Jennie Dalesandro, Administrative Technical Support

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
Aluminum Association	Mark Eliopoulos
American Chemistry Council	Paul Dobrowsky
American Foundry Society	James J. Murphy, IV
	Tom Slavin
American Petroleum Institute	Kendall C. Crawford, P.E., CSP
American Society of Safety Engineers	David Dodge, CSP, P.E.
	George Karosas, CSP, P.E.
Arrowhead Systems, Inc./Busse/SJI Corporation	Al Sumner, P.E.
	Jeff Schuetz
Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.	C. Gary Lopez, CSP
	James D. Smith, CSP
Ashland, Inc.	Jon Scheibe
	Nate Snyder
B11 Standards, Inc.	Sam Boytor
BNSF Railway	Gregg W. Bourbon, CSP, ARM
	Jim Weber, MS, CSP, OHST
Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC	Kenji Furukawa
C&E Sales, Inc.	Heinz Knackstedt, FS Eng
	Steve Wright, P.E., CSP
Castell Interlocks	Pierre Balson
	Dan Skiba
Covestro LLC	Terry L. Ketchum
Cummins Inc.	Deena Ibrahim
	Charles Glynn
design safety engineering, Inc.	Bruce Main, P.E., CSP
Edison Electric Institute	Thomas J. Taylor
	Joe Cissna
FDR Safety LLC	Mike Taubitz
	Joe Wolfsberger
Fortress Interlocks Ltd.	Henry Toal
Gleason Works, The	Alan Metelsky
Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.	James W. Lane
	Dan Pyanowski
Grantek Systems Integration	Jeff Winter, CSP, FS Eng
	Pat Brown
Grund Consulting	Edward V. Grund, CSP, P.E.
	Russell P. Bald
Honda North America, Inc.	Jason Stover, CSP
	Estelle Diaz, ASP
Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers	Sue Vogel
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	David Mullen
International Union, UAW	Andrew Comai, MS
Intertek Group PLC	Saranpal "Sunny" Rai
	Allan Cose
JDF Consulting Enterprises Ltd.	Jeff Fryman

Johnson & Johnson

Komatsu America Corp.

Master Lock Company, LLC

NPES: The Association for Suppliers of Printing,
Publishing & Converting Technologies
Nucor Corporation

Omron Scientific Technologies, Inc.
Pilz Automation Safety LP

PMMI
Precision Metalforming Association

Robotic Industries Association
Rockwell Automation, Inc.

Ross Controls

Safe-T-Sense

SICK, Inc.

Society of Plastics Industry

Tokyo Electron (TEL)

Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing NA, Inc.

U.S. Department of Labor – OSHA
White Horse Safety, LLC

Donald Lyons
Ronny Briers
George Schreck
Jim Landowski
Todd C. Grover
Matthew Dudgeon
Debbie Orf

George Stephenson
Tim Reeves
Joseph Doran, P.E.
Douglas Sten, Ph.D., CSP, CMSE, CEA
Tim Huss, CSME
Fred Hayes
William Gaskin
James Barrett, Jr.
Carole Franklin
Roberta Nelson Shea, MEng, FS Eng
Wayne Solberg, FS Eng
Eric Cummings, FS Eng
Dan Henman
Chris Gerges
Jamie Tolfree
Chris Soranno, FS Eng
Nate Gose, FS Eng
Dale Bartholomew
David Felinski
Mark Fessler, FS Eng
Supika Mashiro
Troy Uahinui
Bill Horsford
Ken Stevanus
Ted Sberna

Contents

Introduction	13
1. Scope and Purpose.....	14
1.1 Scope.....	14
1.2 Purpose	14
1.3 Application Exceptions.....	14
2. References	15
3. Definitions.....	15
4. Responsibilities	19
4.1 Suppliers.....	19
4.2 Users	19
4.3 Personnel	19
5. Design of Machinery/Equipment for the Control of Hazardous Energy.....	19
5.1 General.....	19
5.2 Partial Energization	20
5.3 Component Isolation.....	20
5.4 Energy-Isolating Devices	20
5.5 Special Tools or Devices	21
5.6 Information for Use.....	22
5.7 Stored and Residual Energy	22
5.8 Control Integration	22
5.9 Restraint Devices.....	23
5.10 Tamper Resistance.....	23
6. Hazardous Energy Control Program.....	23
6.1 General.....	23
6.2 Methods of Hazardous Energy Control.....	23
6.3 Hazardous Energy Control Program.....	24
6.4 Communication and Training.....	26
6.5 Program Review	27
6.6 Management of Change	28
7. Control of Hazardous Energy	28
7.1 General.....	28
7.2 Hazardous Energy Control Procedures	29