

Technical Information Report

AAMI TIR50: 2014/(R)2017

Post-market surveillance of
use error management

Post-market surveillance of use error management

Approved 10 March 2014 and reaffirmed 6 December 2017 by
AAMI

Abstract: This document will address the issue of use error detection for medical devices from clinical, manufacturer, and regulatory perspective regarding human factors assessment. The goal is to provide guidance on how clinicians and manufacturers can best collect and leverage post-market use error data to improve product safety and usability.

Keywords: human factors, usability, hospital, clinical

AAMI Technical Information Report

A technical information report (TIR) is a publication of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) Standards Board that addresses a particular aspect of medical technology.

Although the material presented in a TIR may need further evaluation by experts, releasing the information is valuable because the industry and the professions have an immediate need for it.

A TIR differs markedly from a standard or recommended practice, and readers should understand the differences between these documents.

Standards and recommended practices are subject to a formal process of committee approval, public review, and resolution of all comments. This process of consensus is supervised by the AAMI Standards Board and, in the case of American National Standards, by the American National Standards Institute.

A TIR is not subject to the same formal approval process as a standard. However, a TIR is approved for distribution by a technical committee and the AAMI Standards Board.

Another difference is that, although both standards and TIRs are periodically reviewed, a standard must be acted on—reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn—and the action formally approved usually every five years but at least every 10 years. For a TIR, AAMI consults with a technical committee about five years after the publication date (and periodically thereafter) for guidance on whether the document is still useful—that is, to check that the information is relevant or of historical value. If the information is not useful, the TIR is removed from circulation.

A TIR may be developed because it is more responsive to underlying safety or performance issues than a standard or recommended practice, or because achieving consensus is extremely difficult or unlikely. Unlike a standard, a TIR permits the inclusion of differing viewpoints on technical issues.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI TIR may be revised or withdrawn at any time. Because it addresses a rapidly evolving field or technology, readers are cautioned to ensure that they have also considered information that may be more recent than this document.

All standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Comments on this technical information report are invited and should be sent to AAMI, Attn: Standards Department, 4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633.

Published by

AAMI
4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301
Arlington, VA 22203-1633
www.aami.org

© 2014 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

Publication, reproduction, photocopying, storage, or transmission, electronically or otherwise, of all or any part of this document without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation is strictly prohibited by law. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, complete the reprint request form at www.aami.org or contact AAMI at 4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633. Phone: +1-703-525-4890; Fax: +1-703-525-1067.

ISBN 978-1-57020-514-9

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

Contents

	Page
Glossary of equivalent standards	v
Committee representation	vi
Foreword	viii
1 Purpose.....	1
2 Scope.....	1
2.1 Stakeholder entities (not all inclusive).....	1
2.2 Reporting entities (not all inclusive)	1
3 Terms and definitions.....	2
4 How to use this document.....	3
5 Background.....	3
5.1 Manufacturer's perspective	3
5.2 Clinical perspective	4
5.3 Lay users perspective.....	5
6 Regulatory focus on use error post-market surveillance	5
7 Process recommendations for manufacturers.....	6
7.1 Process flow high level introduction.....	6
7.1.1 Deployment	8
7.1.2 Valid use event reports received (inputs for "reports").....	11
7.1.3 Triage	12
7.1.4 Analysis	14
7.1.5 Monitor and trend	15
7.1.6 Action	17
7.2 How post-market surveillance use error management drives value for your company	18
7.2.1 Data trending	18
7.2.2 Recommendations for how and when to take action	18
8 Process recommendations for clinical users.....	19
8.1 Process flow high-level introduction.....	19
8.2 Use error event.....	20
8.3 Immediate impact on user/patient.....	20
8.4 Evaluate experience to determine root cause.....	22
8.4.1 Monitor, trend and report to patient safety bodies	24
8.5 Reporting to manufacturer.....	24
8.6 Organization activities.....	24
8.6.1 General training on use error.....	24
8.6.2 Develop an understanding of the value of reporting close calls.....	24
8.6.3 Address the blame game.....	25
8.6.4 How post-market surveillance use error management drives value in clinical environments	25
8.6.5 Develop use error and close call reporting process for clinical organizational environments	25
8.6.6 User dissatisfaction	26
8.6.7 Utilize internal resources to identify use error events	26
8.6.8 Proactive assessment	26
8.6.9 Scalability	27
Annexes	
A Example questions for collecting use error or close call data.....	28
B Connecting use error to customer complaints.....	33
Bibliography	36

Tables

Table 1 — Potential use error complaint scenarios.....	10
Table B.1 — Tie use error to customer complaints	34

Figures

Figure 1 — Process overview flow chart on post-market surveillance of use error for manufacturers	7
Figure 2 — Deployment flow chart.....	8
Figure 3 — Triage flow chart.....	13
Figure 4 — Analysis flow chart.....	14
Figure 5 — Monitor and trend flow chart.....	16
Figure 6 — Action process flowchart.....	17
Figure 7 — Process overview flow chart on post-market surveillance of use error for clinical users.....	19
Figure 8 — Mitigate impact on user/patient.....	21
Figure 9 — Evaluate experience to determine root cause	22
Figure 10 — Sources of information.....	26