

American National Standard



ANSI/AAMI/ ISO 25539-2: 2012

Cardiovascular implants —
Endovascular devices — Part
2: Vascular stents

Objectives and uses of AAMI standards and recommended practices

It is most important that the objectives and potential uses of an AAMI product standard or recommended practice are clearly understood. The objectives of AAMI's technical development program derive from AAMI's overall mission: the advancement of medical instrumentation. Essential to such advancement are (1) a continued increase in the safe and effective application of current technologies to patient care, and (2) the encouragement of new technologies. It is AAMI's view that standards and recommended practices can contribute significantly to the advancement of medical instrumentation, provided that they are drafted with attention to these objectives and provided that arbitrary and restrictive uses are avoided.

A voluntary *standard* for a *medical device* recommends to the manufacturer the information that should be provided with or on the product, basic safety and performance criteria that should be considered in qualifying the device for clinical use, and the measurement techniques that can be used to determine whether the device conforms with the safety and performance criteria and/or to compare the performance characteristics of different products. Some standards emphasize the information that should be provided with the device, including performance characteristics, instructions for use, warnings and precautions, and other data considered important in ensuring the safe and effective use of the device in the clinical environment. Recommending the disclosure of performance characteristics often necessitates the development of specialized test methods to facilitate uniformity in reporting; reaching consensus on these tests can represent a considerable part of committee work. When a drafting committee determines that clinical concerns warrant the establishment of *minimum* safety and performance criteria, referee tests must be provided and the reasons for establishing the criteria must be documented in the rationale.

A *recommended practice* provides guidelines for the use, care, and/or processing of a medical device or system. A recommended practice does not address device performance *per se*, but rather procedures and practices that will help ensure that a device is used safely and effectively and that its performance will be maintained.

Although a device standard is primarily directed to the manufacturer, it may also be of value to the potential purchaser or user of the device as a frame of reference for device evaluation. Similarly, even though a recommended practice is usually oriented towards healthcare professionals, it may be useful to the manufacturer in better understanding the environment in which a medical device will be used. Also, some recommended practices, while not addressing device performance criteria, provide guidelines to industrial personnel on such subjects as sterilization processing, methods of collecting data to establish safety and efficacy, human engineering, and other processing or evaluation techniques; such guidelines may be useful to health care professionals in understanding industrial practices.

In determining whether an AAMI standard or recommended practice is relevant to the specific needs of a potential user of the document, several important concepts must be recognized:

All AAMI standards and recommended practices are *voluntary* (unless, of course, they are adopted by government regulatory or procurement authorities). The application of a standard or recommended practice is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document.

Each AAMI standard or recommended practice reflects the collective expertise of a committee of health care professionals and industrial representatives, whose work has been reviewed nationally (and sometimes internationally). As such, the consensus recommendations embodied in a standard or recommended practice are intended to respond to clinical needs and, ultimately, to help ensure patient safety. A standard or recommended practice is limited, however, in the sense that it responds generally to perceived risks and conditions that may not always be relevant to specific situations. A standard or recommended practice is an important *reference* in responsible decision-making, but it should never *replace* responsible decision-making.

Despite periodic review and revision (at least once every five years), a standard or recommended practice is necessarily a static document applied to a dynamic technology. Therefore, a standards user must carefully review the reasons why the document was initially developed and the specific rationale for each of its provisions. This review will reveal whether the document remains relevant to the specific needs of the user.

Particular care should be taken in applying a product standard to existing devices and equipment, and in applying a recommended practice to current procedures and practices. While observed or potential risks with existing equipment typically form the basis for the safety and performance criteria defined in a standard, professional judgment must be used in applying these criteria to existing equipment. No single source of information will serve to identify a particular product as "unsafe". A voluntary standard can be used as one resource, but the ultimate decision as to product safety and efficacy must take into account the specifics of its utilization and, of course, cost-benefit considerations. Similarly, a recommended practice should be analyzed in the context of the specific needs and resources of the individual institution or firm. Again, the rationale accompanying each AAMI standard and recommended practice is an excellent guide to the reasoning and data underlying its provision.

In summary, a standard or recommended practice is truly useful only when it is used in conjunction with other sources of information and policy guidance and in the context of professional experience and judgment.

INTERPRETATIONS OF AAMI STANDARDS AND RECOMMENDED PRACTICES

Requests for interpretations of AAMI standards and recommended practices must be made in writing, to the AAMI Vice President, Standards Policy and Programs. An official interpretation must be approved by letter ballot of the originating committee and subsequently reviewed and approved by the AAMI Standards Board. The interpretation will become official and representation of the Association only upon exhaustion of any appeals and upon publication of notice of interpretation in the "Standards Monitor" section of the *AAMI News*. The Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation disclaims responsibility for any characterization or explanation of a standard or recommended practice which has not been developed and communicated in accordance with this procedure and which is not published, by appropriate notice, as an *official interpretation* in the *AAMI News*.

Cardiovascular implants — Endovascular devices — Part 2: Vascular stents

Approved 3 December 2012 by
Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Approved 4 December 2012 by
American National Standards Institute

Abstract: Specifies requirements for vascular stents, based upon current medical knowledge. Gives requirements for intended performance, design attributes, materials, design evaluation, manufacturing, sterilization packaging and information supplied by the manufacturer. Includes vascular stents used to treat vascular lesions or stenosis, or other vascular abnormalities. These devices may or may not incorporate surface modifications of the stent such as drug and/or other coatings.

Keywords: balloon, biocompatibility, classification, delivery, design, implant, sampling, sizing, reporting

AAMI Standard

This Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. The existence of an AAMI standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. AAMI standards are subject to periodic review, and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. AAMI procedures require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than 5 years from the date of publication. Interested parties may obtain current information on all AAMI standards by calling or writing AAMI, or by visiting the AAMI website at www.aami.org.

All AAMI standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Published by

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation
4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301
Arlington, VA 22203-1633
www.aami.org

© 2013 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

This publication is subject to copyright claims of ISO, ANSI, and AAMI. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form, including an electronic retrieval system, without the prior written permission of AAMI. All requests pertaining to this document should be submitted to AAMI. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, complete the reprint request form at www.aami.org or contact AAMI at 4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633. Phone: +1-703-525-4890; Fax: +1-703-525-1067.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 1-57020-480-2

This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.

Contents

Page

Glossary of equivalent standards	v
Committee representation.....	vi
Background of ANSI/AAMI adoption of ISO 25539-2:2012	vii
Foreword	viii
Introduction	ix
1 Scope.....	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions.....	2
4 General requirements	5
4.1 Classification	5
4.2 Size	5
4.3 Intended clinical use designation	5
5 Intended performance	6
6 Design attributes.....	6
6.1 General	6
6.2 Delivery system and stent system	7
6.3 Implant.....	7
7 Materials.....	8
8 Design evaluation	8
8.1 General	8
8.2 Sampling	9
8.3 Conditioning of test samples.....	9
8.4 Reporting	10
8.5 Delivery system and stent system	10
8.6 Stent	17
8.7 Preclinical <i>in vivo</i> evaluation	27
8.8 Clinical evaluation.....	31
9 Post-market surveillance	36
10 Manufacturing	36
11 Sterilization.....	36
11.1 Products supplied sterile	36
11.2 Products supplied non-sterile	36
11.3 Sterilization residuals	36
12 Packaging	37
12.1 Protection from damage in storage and transport	37
12.2 Marking	37
12.3 Information supplied by the manufacturer	38
Annex A (informative) Attributes of endovascular devices — Vascular stents — Technical and clinical consideration.....	40
Annex B (informative) Bench and analytical tests	47

Annex C (informative) Definitions of reportable clinical events	51
Annex D (informative) Test methods	54
Annex E (informative) Supplement to fatigue durability test analytical approach	97
Bibliography	100

Glossary of equivalent standards

International Standards adopted in the United States may include normative references to other International Standards. AAMI maintains a current list of each International Standard that has been adopted by AAMI (and ANSI). Available on the AAMI website at the address below, this list gives the corresponding U.S. designation and level of equivalency to the International Standard.

www.aami.org/standards/glossary.pdf

Committee representation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Vascular Prostheses Committee

The adoption of ISO 25539-2:2012 as an American National Standard was initiated by the AAMI Vascular Prostheses Committee. The AAMI Vascular Prostheses Committee also functions as the U.S. Technical Advisory Group to the relevant work in the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). U.S. representatives from the AAMI Vascular Prostheses Committee (U.S. Sub-TAG for ISO/TC 150/SC 2/WG 3) played an active part in developing the ISO standard.

At the time this document was published, the **AAMI Vascular Prostheses Committee** (U.S. Sub-TAG for ISO/TC 150/SC 2/WG 3) had the following members:

Cochairs Dorothy Abel
Lou Smith

Members Dorothy Abel, FDA/CDRH
Richard Bianco, University of Minnesota
Brian Choules, PhD, Med Institute, Inc.
James Conti, PhD, Dynatek Delta Scientific Instruments
Jeff Elkins, Aptus Endosystems
Michael Hallisey, MD, Jefferson Radiology
Mark Hoekwater, Medtronic Cardiovascular
Jason Humphrey, Boston Scientific
Martin King, PhD, Hopital Saint Francois D'Assise/Quebec Biomaterials Institute
Evan Lipsitz, MD, Montefiore Medical Center
Lito Mejia, Bose Corporation
Keith Morel, DEKRA
Ning Pan, Johnson & Johnson/Ethicon Endo-Surgery
Santosh Prabhu, PhD, Abbott Laboratories
Louis Smith, WL Gore & Associates
Ann Tunstall, PhD, SciLucent LLC
Matthew Waninger, PhD, Cook, Inc.
Craig Weinberg, PhD, Biomedical Device Consultants & Laboratories
Robert Whirley, PhD, TriVascular Inc.
Rodney White, MD, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center
Christopher Zarins, MD, Stanford University Hospital

Alternates Yolanda Bolanos, Johnson & Johnson/Cordis Corporation
Lee Bolduc, Aptus Endosystems
Jason Bowe, Med Institute, Inc.
Jennifer Goode, FDA/CDRH
Thomas Herbst, PhD, Boston Scientific
Elaine Strobe, PhD, Dynatek Delta Scientific Instruments
Matthew Thompson, Bose Corporation
Steven Weinberg, PhD, Biomedical Device Consultants & Laboratories
Michael Wolf, Medtronic Inc.

NOTE--Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this document does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

Background of ANSI/AAMI adoption of ISO 25539-2:2012

As indicated in the foreword to the main body of this document (page viii), the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies. The United States is one of the ISO members that took an active role in the development of this standard, which was developed by ISO Technical Committee (TC) 150 Subcommittee (SC) 2, Cardiovascular implants and extracorporeal systems, to fill a need for basic requirements for endovascular prostheses and the methods of test which will enable evaluation of these devices to the standard.

U.S. participation in this ISO SC is organized through the U.S. Technical Advisory Group for ISO/TC 150/SC 2, administered by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI).

AAMI encourages its committees to harmonize their work with international standards as much as possible. The U.S. adoption of ISO 25539-2:2012 was approved by ANSI on 4 December 2012. The AAMI Vascular Prostheses Committee (U.S. Sub-TAG for ISO/TC 150/SC 2/WG 3, Vascular prostheses) initiated the U.S. adoption. ANSI/AAMI/ISO 25539-2:2012, a Minor Revision of ANSI/AAMI/ISO 25539-2:2008, updates the normative references and provides minor editorial changes to Clause 8 and Annex D for clarification.

AAMI (and ANSI) have adopted other ISO standards. See the Glossary of Equivalent Standards for a list of ISO standards adopted by AAMI which gives the corresponding U.S. designation and the level of equivalency with the ISO standard.

As used within the context of this document, “shall” indicates requirements strictly to be followed to conform to the standard. “Should” indicates that among several possibilities, one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action should be avoided but is not prohibited. “May” is used to indicate that a course of action is permissible within the limits of the standard. “Can” is used as a statement of possibility and capability. Finally, “must” is used only to describe “unavoidable” situations, including those mandated by government regulation.

The concepts incorporated in this document should not be considered inflexible or static. This standard, like any other, must be reviewed and updated periodically to assimilate progressive technological developments. To remain relevant, it must be modified as technological advances are made and as new data come to light.

Suggestions for improving this document are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to Standards Department, AAMI, 4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633.

NOTE—Beginning with the ISO foreword on page viii, this American National Standard is identical to ISO 25539-2:2012.

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 25539-2 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 150, *Implants for surgery*, Subcommittee SC 2, *Cardiovascular implants and extracorporeal systems*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 25539-2:2008), of which it constitutes a minor revision. This minor revision updates the normative references and provides minor editorial changes to Clause 8 and Annex D for clarification.

ISO 25539 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Cardiovascular implants — Endovascular devices*:

- *Part 1: Endovascular prostheses*
- *Part 2: Vascular stents*
- *Part 3: Vena cava filters*

Introduction

This part of ISO 25539 has been prepared in order to provide minimum requirements for endovascular devices and the methods of test that will enable their evaluation. It is the second part of a three-part standard. ISO 25539-1 addresses endovascular prostheses and ISO 25539-3 addresses vena cava filters. ISO/TS 15539, from which this part of ISO 25539 is derived, serves as a rationale for the requirements of this part of ISO 25539. The Technical Specification ISO/TS 15539 was developed by first identifying the design requirements for these devices and listing the potential device and clinical failure modes. Tests were then identified to address each of the failure modes. The requirements provided in this part of ISO 25539 are based on that assessment.